This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/990,899	11/16/2001	Bryant E. Bigbee	42390P12481	3441	
8791	7590 05/26/2004		EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			PERVEEN,	PERVEEN, REHANA	
	HIRE BOULEVARD, SI LES, CA 90025	EVENTH FLOOR	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
LOD MINGE	225, 611 70020		2116		

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	09/990,899	BIGBEE ET AL.	J/r			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	`			
	Rehana Perveen	2116				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provided for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed nys will be considered timel n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	November 2001.					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 November 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	-	` '			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form P	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	· ·		Stage			
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		J			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [Date	D 450)			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	ratent Application (PT)	J-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the register", "the processor identification instruction", and "the selected value in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim since claim 4 depends from claim 1 which does not recite these limitations. Claim 3 recites all of these limitations. Therefore, correction is required to correct the dependency of claim 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/990,899

Art Unit: 2116

Claims 1-3, 5, 9-12, 16, 18, 20-22, and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chalmers et al, Publication No. US 2003/0182545.

As to claims 1 and 9, Chalmers et al teach verifying if a brand string feature is supported on a processor (page 1, 0016 and page 2, 0019), retrieving a brand string (page 2, 0021, lines 1-4 and 0024, lines 1-6), interpreting the brand string including information pertaining to a maximum operating frequency of the processor (page 2, 0019 and page 3, 0025, lines 6-13), and outputting maximum operating frequency (page 4, claim 1, line 6).

As to claims 2, 10, 21, and 27, Chalmers et al teach scanning the brand string in reverse order for a quantity or for the maximum operating frequency (page 1, 0014 and page 3, 0029).

As to claims 3, 11, 16, 20, and 26, Chalmers et al teach verifying if a value loaded in a register by a processor identification instruction is greater than or equal to a selected value (page 3, 0027-0029).

As to claims 5, 12, 18, and 22, Chalmers et al teach loading a register with a first specified value (page 2, 0024), executing a processing instruction (page 2, 0021),

Application/Control Number: 09/990,899

Art Unit: 2116

verifying that the returned value in the register logically anded with a second specified value does not equal zero and verifying that a value the processing instruction returns is greater than or equal to a third specified value (page 3, 0027-0029), scanning the string in reverse order for at least one specified substring (page 1, 0014 and page 3, 0029), parsing the next digits as a decimal number, determining a multiplier value according to the specified substring, and multiplying the decimal number by the multiplier value to output a maximum operating frequency (page 2, 0019 and page 3, 0025-0029).

As to claim 28, Chalmers et al teach the processor is further able to measure a current operating frequency of the processor and compare the maximum operating frequency to the current operating frequency (page 4, claims 1-3).

As to claim 29, Chalmers et al teach the maximum operating frequency is stored in the second register at manufacturing time (as part of the BIOS, page 2, 0019 and page 3, 0032).

As to claim 30, Chalmers et al teach system software to store maximum operating frequency information set at manufacturing time (page 1, 0016 and page 3, 0032).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/990,899

Art Unit: 2116

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 6-8, 13-15, 17, 19, 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chalmers et al, Publication No. US 2003/0182545.

Chalmers et al do not expressly teach the specific register types, specific instruction type, specific values, or specific substring type. However, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' claimed invention would have readily recognized that use of such specific types and values have been quite well known in the prior art systems. Further, the benefits and advantages of achieving acceptable results when operating utilizing these specific types and values have also been well known to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rehana Perveen whose telephone number is 703-305-8476. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H Browne can be reached on 703-308-1159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2116

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rehana Perveen

Primary Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2100